

Application Report

Applicant Organization:	Solano		
Application:	25-26 WC Solano County		
App ID:	App-25-342		
Funding Announcement:	FY 25-26 Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Program		
Requested Amount:	\$318,035.00		
Project Summary:	25-26 WC Solano County		
Authorized Certifying Official:	Matt Olsen	MKOlsen@solanocounty.gov	707-784-3340
Project Director/Manager:	Matt Olsen	MKOlsen@solanocounty.gov	707-784-3340
Case Statistics / Data Reporter:	Irene Chew	IMChew@solanocounty.gov	707-784-1991
Compliance/Fiscal Officer:	Jason Aguirre	jlaguirre@solanocounty.gov	707-784-3267



APPLICANT QUESTIONS

Section Name: Overview Questions

Sub-Section Name: General Information

1. APPLICANT QUESTION: MULTI-COUNTY GRANT

Is this a multi-county grant application request? If Yes, select the additional counties.

Applicant Response:

No

2. APPLICANT QUESTION: FY 23-24 AUDITED UNEXPENDED FUNDS

Excluding interest, what was the amount of your FY 23-24 Audited Unexpended Funds? If none, enter "0".

Applicant Response:

21342

3. APPLICANT QUESTION: FY 23-24 AUDITED UNEXPENDED FUNDS PERCENTAGE OF FY 23-24 AWARD

Your FY 23-24 Audited Unexpended Funds are what percentage of your FY 23-24 total award? If none, enter "0".

Total Award excludes interest earned and incoming carryover. To calculate percentage, divide your audited unexpended funds by your total award. Round to the nearest whole number.

Example:

FY 23-24 Total Award: \$100,000

FY 23-24 Audited Unexpended Funds: \$23,750

FY 23-24 Audited Unexpended Funds Percentage: 24%

Applicant Response:

7

4. APPLICANT QUESTION: CONTACT UPDATES

Has your county's Admin User updated the Contacts and Users for your Program?

- **Contacts** are those, such as your elected District Attorney, who need to be identified but do not need access to GMS.
- **Users** are those individuals who will be entering information/uploading into GMS for the application. **Confidential Users** have access to everything in all your grant applications. **Standard Users** do not have access to the Confidential Sections where Investigation Activity is reported. Typical Standard Users are budget personnel.

Applicant Response:

5. APPLICANT QUESTION: PROGRAM CONTACTS

Identify the individuals who will serve as the Program Contacts and your Elected District Attorney. Your Program Contacts must be entered as a User and your Elected District Attorney may be a Contact or User in GMS. Contact your county’s Admin User if an individual needs to be added or updated.

On the final submission page, you will link your Program Contacts to the application.

Project Director/Manager is the individual ultimately responsible for the program. This person must be a Confidential User.

Case Statistics/Data Reporter is the individual responsible for entering the statistics into the DAR (District Attorney Program Report). This person should be a Confidential User.

Compliance/Fiscal Officer is the individual responsible for all fiscal matters relating to the program. This person is usually a Standard User.

Elected District Attorney is your county’s elected official. This person must be entered as a Contact or a User.

Applicant Response:

Program Contacts	Name
Project Director / Manager	Matt Olsen
Case Statistics / Data Reporter	Irene Chew
Compliance / Fiscal Officer	Jason Aguirre
Elected District Attorney	Krishna Abrams

6. APPLICANT QUESTION: STATISTICAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Do you acknowledge the County is responsible for separately submitting a Program Report using the CDI website, DA Portal?

To access the DAR webpage on the CDI website: right click on the following link to open a new tab, or copy the URL into your browser.

<http://www.insurance.ca.gov/0300-fraud/0100-fraud-division-overview/10-anti-fraud-prog/dareporting.cfm>

As a reminder, Vertical Prosecutions should not be counted as an Investigation, a Joint Investigation, or an Assist in the DAR.

Applicant Response:

Yes

7. APPLICANT QUESTION: REQUIRED DOCUMENTS UPLOAD

Have you reviewed the Application Upload List and properly named and uploaded the documents into your Document Library?

To view/download the Application Upload List: go the Announcement, click View, and at the top of the page select Attachments. The Application Upload List is 4e. Items must be uploaded into the Document Library before you can attach them to the upcoming questions.

Applicant Response:

Yes

Sub-Section Name: BOS Resolution

8. APPLICANT QUESTION: BOS RESOLUTION

Have you uploaded a Board of Supervisors (BOS) Resolution to the Document Library and attached it to this question?

A BOS Resolution for the new grant period must be uploaded to GMS to receive funding for the 2025-2026 Fiscal Year. If the resolution cannot be submitted with the application, it must be emailed to LAU@insurance.ca.gov no later than January 2, 2026. There is a sample with instructions located in the Announcement Attachments, 3b.

Applicant Response:

No

9. APPLICANT QUESTION: DELEGATED AUTHORITY DESIGNATION

Choose from the selection who will be the person submitting this application, signing the Grant Award Agreement (GAA), and approving any amendments thereof.

The person selected must be a Confidential User, who will attest their authority and link their contact record on the submission page of this application. Must be a direct email address; No generic/group email address allowed. A sample Delegated Authority Designation Letter is located in

the Announcement Attachments, 3a. CDI encourages the contact named as Project Director/Manger be the designated authority, should that be your selection.

Applicant Response:

Designated Person named in Attached Letter

Documents:

25-26 WC Solano County Designated Authority Letter.Pdf

Section Name: County Plan

Sub-Section Name: Qualifications and Successes

10. APPLICANT QUESTION: SUCCESSES

What areas of your workers' compensation insurance fraud program were successful and why?

Detail your program's successes for ONLY the 23-24 and 24-25 Fiscal Years. It is not necessary to list every case. If a case is being reported in more than one insurance fraud grant program, clearly identify the component(s) that apply to this program. If you are including any task force cases in your caseload, name the task force and your county personnel's specific involvement/role in the case(s). Information regarding investigations should be given a reference number and details provided only in the Confidential Section, question 1 (County Plan Confidential Investigation Details).

Applicant Response:

The Solano County's Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud program remains committed to producing successful case outcomes and fostering cohesive working relationships through regular communications with our partners at the Greater Bay Area Workers' Compensation Fraud Consortium, California Department of Insurance - Golden Gate Division, and the Department of Industrial Relations. The Consortium now includes agencies from both the Golden Gate Division and Silicon Valley division, which we believe will only result in greater collaboration and pooling of resources amongst the member counties. Solano County remains committed to maintaining continuity within this vitally important working group. This recently expanded working group will continue to provide an invaluable source of ongoing training and case round-tableing for all parties involved.

At past consortium trainings, Deputy District Attorney Irene Chew has served as an expert panelist, along with several other Consortium attorneys. On October 15, 2024, Solano County Lead Deputy District Attorney Matthew Olsen and Monterey County Deputy District Attorney John Hubanks conducted a training session on "Presenting a Compelling FD-1 to a District Attorney: Law Enforcement Perspectives" at the Consortium's annual SIU training event. On October 23, 2024, Solano County Deputy District Attorney Irene Chew presented an Auto Insurance Fraud case study at the CDAA Fraud Symposium in Santa Rosa.

Solano County personnel also regularly attend the Anti-Fraud Alliance (AFA) Investigators Association quarterly meetings, and this fiscal year personnel attended two in-person conferences, which included the CDAA Fraud Symposium in Santa Rosa and the AFA Conference in Monterey. DA Investigator Dave Jackson remains an AFA member. In April of 2023, Lead Deputy District Attorney Matthew Olsen and Marin County Deputy District Attorney Sean Kensinger gave a presentation at the 2023 AFA Conference. The presentation

was entitled "What to Expect When You're Expecting to Testify in Court". This training recognizes that certain witnesses may not have significant experience testifying in a courtroom and was designed to walk them through what to expect, as well as what will be expected of them, should they be called to testify in a criminal case. Lead DDA Matthew Olsen had a great experience giving his presentation and would be happy to present again at a future event upon AFA's request.

By Joint Agreement, the Solano County Workers' Compensation Fraud Unit meets regularly with the California Department of Insurance - Golden Gate Division to discuss cases, eliminate wasteful downtime, minimize redundant efforts and equitably assign investigation action plans. Solano County maintains a strong relationship with our local CDI office in Benicia and remains committed to working with our counterparts to expand our ability to conduct joint operations.

The Unit had a number of successful outcomes during FY 23-24 and FY 24-25 and continues to work towards a successful resolution of all ongoing cases.

On April 5, 2024, the Unit obtained multiple felony convictions in a **very complex premium fraud case**. Defendant, who is a contractor in Benicia, pled Guilty to six felony counts of Insurance Fraud and Grand Theft after a joint investigation conducted by our office, CDI and EDD. The investigation revealed that defendant illegally underreported his payroll by nearly \$1 million. The case came to light after one of defendant's employees contacted State Fund after sustaining a work-related injury. A search warrant was executed for bank records, which led to 3 additional roll-over search warrants for various other accounts. Numerous audits were conducted to determine the amounts of both the premium fraud and unpaid payroll taxes and deductions. After being contacted by State Fund, the Contractor's State Licensing Board, Joint Enforcement Strike Force conducted a site inspection of defendant's business and interviewed a number of his employees. Defendant was placed on felony probation, ordered to serve 120 days on the alternative sentencing program, pay over \$725,000 in restitution, \$50,000 fine to CDI, and surrender his general contractor's license. In total, the joint investigation found that defendant underreported his payroll by \$989,823 leading to \$382,104 in lost premiums owed to State Fund and unpaid payroll taxes owed to EDD totaling \$347,520. All restitution owed and the \$50,000 penalty fine requested by the People were collected prior to defendant entering his plea. Upon resolution of the case, CDI and the Solano County District Attorney's Office issued press releases regarding the details of the guilty plea and recovery of restitution. On April 11, 2024, the Daily Republic reported the story, which can be found at https://www.dailyrepublic.com/news/beniciacontractor-to-pay-nearly-800k-for-insurance-fraud/article_ed8bace4-f785-11ee-a85f-b76eb19f79eb.html.

Also in the FY 23-24, a **standard claimant fraud case** resulted in a conviction for a misdemeanor violation of Insurance Code sections 1871.4(a)(1). Defendant claimed he slipped and fell, injuring his hip and wrist upon arriving to work and opening the driveway gate. However, work surveillance cameras showed no falling at any time during the alleged incident. Being close to retiring, defendant admitted he was having a frustrated day and just decided to say he fell and injured himself. Defendant was charged with felony counts of Penal Code 550(a)(1), and 550(b)(1), and Insurance Code sections 1871.4(a)(1) and 1871(a)(2). He was ordered to pay just over \$8,100 in restitution, which is now paid in full.

In the FY 24-25, a **medium premium fraud case** was filed against an owner of a handyman business who claimed to only have clerical staff, yet subrosa video and statements from a homeowner indicated that the sons who were claimed to be clerical, were actually on-site and doing the labor of installing windows. Multiple audits were conducted, and reports for prior years were found to also have been fraudulent in the mis-classifications of workers for the benefit of lower premiums. Defendant had made claims that her sons never went to the job sites, but only did office work, yet subrosa videos and interviews contradicted that claim. She is charged with four felony counts of Insurance Code 11880(a) with an estimated chargeable amount of \$47,818. Her case is currently pending an arraignment date.

Also in the FY 24-25, a **complex claimant fraud case** was filed against a defendant who claimed to have injured her left hip while assisting a patient in an assisted living care facility. For seven months, she received physical therapy treatments and follow up doctor visits which led to her being placed on modified duty that her employer could not accommodate. Defendant was then placed on Total Temporary Disability, which resulted in a hip arthroscopy being performed and continued treatment for another year with minimum improvement. About 10 months later, her symptoms reportedly worsened and she was given a cane to ambulate. In observation by her nurse case manager, she walked slowly with a limp, and slightly bent forward and stated, "she can do max 5 steps and her back/leg will give out" and using the cane 90% of the time. Despite such observations

when being in the presence of medical staff and making such claims, subrosa video showed her walking without a cane, walking at a brisk pace, and far distances, even helping out another person using a leg scooter to take him to his appointment. She is charged with five felony counts of Penal Code 550(b)(1) and five felony counts of Insurance Code 1871.4(a)(2). Her estimated chargeable amount of fraud is \$133,000 and her case is currently in court pending a readiness conference.

11. APPLICANT QUESTION: TASK FORCES AND AGENCIES

List the governmental agencies and task forces you have worked with to develop potential workers' compensation insurance fraud cases.

Applicant Response:

California Department of Insurance

State Compensation Insurance Fund

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Bay Area Workers' Compensation Fraud Consortium

California Contractors State Licensing Board

California Department of Industrial Relations

California Franchise Tax Board

California Highway Patrol

Solano County Human Resources

Local Law Enforcement Agencies

12. APPLICANT QUESTION: UNFUNDED CONTRIBUTIONS

Specify any unfunded contributions and support (i.e., financial, equipment, personnel, and technology) your county provided in Fiscal Year 24-25 to the workers' compensation insurance fraud program.

Applicant Response:

Since April 6, 2020, Lead Deputy District Attorney Matthew Olsen has supervised the Financial Crimes Division, which oversees the Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Unit. Mr. Olsen replaced former unit supervisor Deputy District Attorney Janice Williams, who has since gone on to become a Superior Court Judge. Senior Staff Analyst Jason Aguirre and Accountant Gina Chen are directly employed by the department of the District Attorney and provide assistance and support in the administration of the grant. Additionally,

legal secretaries Rebecca Ulloa-Villagrana and Kristen Johnson serve as support staff to the Deputy District Attorney and District Attorney Investigators assigned to the Worker's Compensation Insurance Fraud Unit. All the aforementioned positions are currently funded outside the grant program.

Solano County also continues to equip our District Attorney Investigator and Deputy District Attorney with all the hardware that is necessary for their continued success in the Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud program. County vehicles are also provided as needed in support of ongoing investigations and/or supplemental activities, such as trainings and meetings with neighboring jurisdictions or allied agencies. All members of the unit are provided a computer with access to network printers at county expense. Internet access via the county network is also provided, and each authorized employee must sign and follow the accompanying County security protocol. All staff have access to the JustWare case management database wherein all cases are entered with events, dispositions, sentencing criteria, witness information, etc., which are updated as they occur. The Solano County District Attorney's Office also continues to provide photocopy, telephone, and central duplicating services, which are all directly related to the daily needs of the unit. Also, when needed, other district attorney investigators will assist with in-field interviews and arrests. Lastly, transcription services and foreign language interpretation services are provided at county expense when needed to assist in a Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Unit investigation.

13. APPLICANT QUESTION: PERSONNEL CONTINUITY

Explain what your county is doing to achieve and preserve workers' compensation fraud institutional knowledge in your grant program. Also detail and explain the turnover or continuity of personnel assigned to your workers' compensation insurance fraud program. Include any rotational policies your county may have.

Applicant Response:

Personnel continuity and the retention of institutional knowledge are areas in which the Solano County Workers' Compensation Fraud Unit continues to excel. The Unit has long recognized that continuity of personnel is an important issue that can have a profound effect on the success of the program. As a result, the Unit has prioritized personnel continuity to ensure that cases are always professionally handled by experienced prosecutors and investigators who understand the unique aspects of these types of matters.

For the last five years, Lead Deputy District Attorney Matthew Olsen has supervised the Financial Crimes Division, which oversees the Workers' Compensation Fraud Unit. Mr. Olsen has spent over 20 years as a criminal prosecutor with Solano County. He has extensive experience in multiple areas of practice which include complex civil enforcement litigation, criminal felony prosecutions and grand jury investigations. Mr. Olsen has been successful in establishing cohesive working relationships with the members of the Bay Area Workers' Compensation Fraud Consortium, the CDI regional office - Golden Gate Division, the Department of Industrial Relations, as well as the investigators with the Internal Affairs Unit at the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitations and several SIUs within the industry. During FY 2024-25, Mr. Olsen continued to work at fostering close professional relationships with his counterparts in the Consortium, as well as ongoing and cooperative associations between Solano County and the aforementioned agencies/departments. As mentioned in a previous section, Mr. Olsen presented a training class at the AFA conference in April 2023. Mr. Olsen regularly attends Consortium meetings, case filing meetings and case update meetings with his attorneys and investigators, both inside and outside his agency

Deputy District Attorney Irene Chew joined the unit in April 2017 and has been with the Solano County District Attorney's Office since August 2000, handling various caseloads during that time. At the time of writing this grant, Ms. Chew has handled approximately 44 workers' compensation insurance fraud cases. As a result of her tenure within the unit, Ms. Chew has developed a highly-specialized skill set related to the prosecution of these types of cases. She has also established herself as a well-respected member of the insurance fraud prosecution community.

In August 2016, District Attorney Investigator David Jackson joined the workers' compensation fraud unit at the Solano County District Attorney's Office. Investigator Jackson brings 37 years of law enforcement experience to the team. He retired as a Captain with the Vallejo Police Department in 2011. While employed with the City of Vallejo, Investigator Jackson managed the workers' compensation program within the department. After retiring in 2011, Investigator Jackson went to work as a part-time investigator for the Napa County District Attorney's Office and was assigned to the Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud and Automobile Insurance Fraud Units. Investigator Jackson has worked closely and established relationships with many members of the California Department of Insurance, local law enforcement and individuals within private fraud investigative units. Investigator Jackson has been involved in the investigation and successful prosecution of dozens of workers' compensation insurance fraud cases.

We strongly believe that continuity of personnel is absolutely essential within this highly specialized prosecution discipline. It is because of this consistency that we can continue to expand our capabilities and pursue the types of cases that have the greatest impact. We plan to continue to prioritize this continuity, in an ongoing effort to ensure that the collective institutional knowledge the Unit has gained thus far can be used in the fight against worker's compensation insurance fraud in our community.

14. APPLICANT QUESTION: FROZEN ASSETS DISTRIBUTION

Were any frozen assets distributed in FY 24-25?

If yes, please describe. Assets may have been frozen in previous years.

Applicant Response:

No

Sub-Section Name: Staffing

15. APPLICANT QUESTION: STAFFING LIST

Complete the chart and list the individuals working the program. Include prosecutor(s), investigator(s), support staff, and any vacant positions to be filled.

All staff listed in your application budget must be included in the chart.

For each person, list the percentage of time dedicated to the program and the start and end dates the individual is in the program. The entry in the "% Time" field must be a whole number, i.e., an employee who dedicates 80% of their time to the program but is only billed 20% to the program, would be entered as "80" in the "% Time Dedicated to the Program" column.

Applicant Response:

Name	Role	Start Date	End Date (leave blank if N/A)	% Time Dedicated to the Program
------	------	------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------

Irene Chew	Deputy District Attorney	04/10/2017		60.00
Dave Jackson	DA Investigator	08/10/2016		100.00

16. APPLICANT QUESTION: FTE AND POSITION COUNT

The staff and FTE included in the chart below MUST MATCH the staff and FTE listed in your application budget. Do not include unfunded personnel.

The “# of Positions” field represents people and must be entered in whole numbers. The “FTE” field must be entered as a decimal and represents the Full Time Equivalent (FTE) for all budgeted personnel in that position.

E.g., Two Attorneys who are billed to the program at 80% each would be entered as “2” in the # of Positions field and “1.60” in the FTE field.

Reminder: This chart MUST match your application budget.

Applicant Response:

Salary by Position	# of Positions (whole numbers)	FTE (1.00 = 2080 hours/year)
Supervising Attorneys		
Attorneys	1.00	0.60
Supervising Investigators		
Investigators (Sworn)	1.00	1.00

Investigators (Non-Sworn)		
Investigative Assistants		
Forensic Accountant/Auditor		
Support Staff Supervisor		
Paralegal/Analyst/Legal Assistant/etc.		
Clerical Staff		
Student Assistants		
Over Time: Investigators		
Over Time: Other Staff		
Salary by Position, other		
	Total: 2.00	Total: 1.60

17. APPLICANT QUESTION: ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

Upload and attach to this question an Organizational Chart; label it "25-26 WC (county name) Org Chart".

The organizational chart should outline:

- *Personnel assigned to the program. Identify their position, title, and placement in the lines of authority to the elected district attorney.*
- *The placement of the program staff and their program responsibility.*

Applicant Response:

18. APPLICANT QUESTION: PROBLEM STATEMENT

Describe the types and magnitude of workers' compensation insurance fraud (e.g., claimant, single/multiple medical/legal provider, premium/employer fraud, insider fraud, insurer fraud) relative to the extent of the problem specific to your county.

Use local data or other evidence to support your description.

Applicant Response:

Central Solano County is located 35 miles from Sacramento and approximately 32 miles northeast of San Francisco and Oakland. Interstate 80 bisects Solano County North and South. Interstate 680, Highway 12 and Interstate 505 also connect Solano with Contra Costa, Napa, Sacramento and Yolo counties. Solano County is home to Travis Air Force Base and three state prisons (California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, CSP- Solano, California Medical Facility and Delta Conservation Camp), all of which attract populations of semi-transient people, including families of prison inmates and service personnel. The County is centrally located for those working in construction trades in the outlying counties and is home to many commuters to the Bay Area and Sacramento Metropolitan locales.

According to data provided by the US Census Bureau, Solano County has an estimated population of 455,101 residents, as of July 1, 2024. Per the Employment Development Department's Labor Market Information Division monthly labor force data for December 2024, Solano County has a civilian labor force of 204,700, with approximately 194,200 employed. This equates to an unemployment rate of 5.1%. Per the Solano Economic Development Corporation, the key to Solano County's successful economy is the competitiveness to attract and retain businesses providing jobs for Solano County residents and affordable housing when compared to prices in the Bay Area.

The majority of cases referred to our office involve claimant fraud, however we also commonly receive willfully uninsured employer cases and premium fraud cases. The County's workforce consists of a combination of large employers, including military and correctional institutions, healthcare, banking, retail, education, manufacturing and recreational/entertainment establishments. It also consists of some smaller family owned businesses, including restaurants, hair/nail salons, and local markets. Solano County additionally has a significant agriculture sector, resulting in both seasonal and year-round agricultural employment opportunities. The diverse business community within Solano County therefore creates an environment susceptible to fraud.

The unit currently has one prosecutor assigned, who divides her time between Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud and Auto Insurance Fraud (60/40% respectively). In addition to our prosecutor, the unit has a part-time District Attorney Investigator, who exclusively handles Worker's Compensation Fraud cases. Solano County recognizes the negative impact Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud has on the local and statewide economy. We are also aware that large premium insurance fraud and medical provider insurance fraud cases exist within our community and are driving the cost of insurance higher for all consumers. As noted in FY 2024-25 application, a major premium fraud case was resolved last fiscal year that resulted in multiple felony convictions and \$725,000.00 in restitution collected. We believe our current resources, combined with our continued collaboration with the other members of the Bay Area Worker's Compensation Fraud Consortium will assist in expanding our efforts to combat large premium insurance fraud and medical provider insurance fraud cases, while continuing to balance cases involving the workable claimant, standard premium and willfully uninsured fraud.

The Solano County District Attorney's Office has several long-term goals, which include continuing to increase awareness of Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud and its consequences for local employers, employees, and law enforcement. As a result, we continue to work towards engaging the community through training and outreach.

19. APPLICANT QUESTION: PROBLEM RESOLUTION PLAN

Explain how your county plans to resolve the problem described in your problem statement. Include improvements in your program.

Information regarding investigations should be given a reference number and details provided only in the Confidential Section, question 1 (County Plan Confidential Investigation Details).

Specify how the district attorney will address the workers' compensation insurance fraud problem, defined in the Problem Statement, through the use of program funds.

The discussion should include the steps that will be taken to address the problem, as well as the estimated time frame(s) to achieve program objectives and activities.

The response should describe:

- The manner in which the district attorney will develop his or her caseload;
- The sources for referrals of cases; and
- A description of how the district attorney will coordinate various sectors involved, including employers, insurers, medical and legal providers, CDI, self-insured employers, public agencies such as the Department of Industrial Relations, Employment Development Department, and local law enforcement agencies.

Applicant Response:

Solano County continues to collaborate with our partners in the Bay Area Workers' Compensation Fraud Consortium, California Department of Insurance - Golden Gate Division, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitations, SIUs, Department of Industrial Relations, and third party administrations to coordinate investigations. We are also actively making our resources available to participate in multi-jurisdictional efforts, including sting operations.

Through regular meetings with our partners at CDI Golden Gate, the Unit remains in constant contact with the detectives who are investigating cases that are in the process of being worked up for possible criminal referrals. DAI Dave Jackson continues to review FD-1's and SFC's on a regular basis in order to develop viable cases for investigation and subsequent prosecution.

We also recognize the continuing challenge of how to deal with viable cases that cannot be properly worked because of the lack of resources by both CDI and only having one part-time investigator assigned to the unit. Therefore, we would like to develop further resources that could be devoted to the investigation of more complex cases, and to assist the Consortium with joint ventures. Lead DDA Matthew Olsen has reviewed and handled several case referrals from CDI that have fallen outside the grant programs that the Solano DA's Office participates in. A recent non-program case handled by Mr. Olsen resulted in a PC 550(b) misdemeanor conviction. DDA Irene Chew remains in constant communication with her Consortium colleagues and is able to tap into other outside resources when needed. Solano County maintains an MOU with DIR and regularly confers

with EDD Investigators on potential case referrals. This office remains committed to full cooperation with our law enforcement partners at CDI and will seek prosecution on any case where criminal charges are deemed appropriate.

20. APPLICANT QUESTION: PLANS TO MEET IC AND FAC GOALS

What are your plans to meet the announced goals of the Insurance Commissioner and the Fraud Assessment Commission?

If these goals are not realistic for your county, please state why they are not, and what goals you can achieve. Include your strategic plan to accomplish these goals. *Copies of the Goals can be found in the Announcement Attachments, 4g and 4h.*

Applicant Response:

The Solano County District Attorney's Office recognizes that the effective and efficient prosecution of **Medical Provider Fraud** continues to be an important priority. The significant spike in use of telehealth services as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated the problem and emerged as an incredibly significant source of fraud. This particular type of fraud often harms some of our most susceptible community members. Therefore, Solano County continues to foster cohesive working relationships and forge new partnerships with other key state and federal agencies, law enforcement agencies and other district attorney's offices.

The District Attorney's office is cognizant that the size and available resources in Solano County may result in the need for aid to/from other agencies to combat fraud. As a result, maintaining the unit's relationships with the Consortium, the California Department of Insurance and the Department of Industrial Relations is absolutely vital in attaining this goal. Recognizing that **Joint Plans and Memorandums of Understanding** are an incredibly valuable tool in achieving this type of cooperation, the Unit remains committed to the Joint Plan already established with CDI and believes the development of a Joint Action Plan with DIR during FY 22-23 was a significant step forward in this regard.

The Solano County District Attorney's Office is committed to consistency when addressing **Performance and Continuity**. We share the same concerns that the Commissioner and the Fraud Assessment Commission have identified in their respective FY 25-26 goals statements. Because the current trends in criminal justice tend to favor diversion and other outcomes that do not result in a criminal conviction, continuity and stability in funding are even more of a significant concern than ever before. Continuity within the Unit is something that the Solano County District Attorney's Office has taken seriously and remains a top priority moving forward. Moreover, this office is committed to pressing for outcomes that are truly reflective of a defendant's behavior in the case.

The Unit is supported by a DDA and DA Investigator that each have almost a decade of experience operating the Unit in this county. Through teamwork and initiative, the unit intends to maintain a high level of communication, coordination, and cooperation with all allied agencies, which they have grown accustomed to receiving from Solano County in the past.

Ms. Irene Chew has been in the Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Unit since April 2017 and has also built strong working relationships with the California Department of Insurance, the Consortium, and SIUs. Investigator David Jackson joined the Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Unit in August 2016 and brought with him years of experience of working both police investigations and workers' compensation insurance fraud cases previously in Napa County. Investigator Jackson has, over the years, worked closely with and developed relationships with many members of the California Department of Insurance, local law enforcement and individuals within private investigative units.

While certain legislative developments have made it more difficult to seek out full accountability for offenders, **Strategic Targeting Against Significant Contributors** to fraud is an approach that the Solano County District Attorney's Office wholeheartedly supports. We believe this approach was illustrated particularly well in last fiscal year's major

premium fraud case success that resulted in the recovery of over \$725,000 in restitution to State Fund and EDD, as well as a \$50,000 fine payable to CDI. Focusing efforts on activities that have the greatest economic impact can reap the greatest deterrent value, which is especially important when dealing with economic crime. The Unit's policy when it comes to resolving cases is that the resolution should be reflective of the actual conduct. While each case is evaluated on an individual basis, the Unit strives to ensure that cases are resolved in a manner that is consistent with what has been alleged and proven during the course of the investigation.

Outreach and Public Awareness have long been recognized as a major component of deterrence. Members of Solano County's Workers' Compensation Fraud Unit consistently attend many local events in conjunction with the Community Prosecution Team to educate the public on the wide array of negative impacts fraud has on the community. The Unit has participated in many large scale community events, which have included the Dixon Mayfair, Vacaville Fiesta Days, National Night Out, Dixon's Grillin' and Chillin' and the Travis AFB Air Show. The unit remains committed to broadening the public's awareness and encouraging the reporting of workers' compensation insurance fraud. The unit believes these efforts will serve to benefit the public and likely result in the generation of more local cases that are well suited for successful prosecution.

In addition to attending many public events in FY 24-25, the Unit has recently debuted a radio advertising campaign on KUIK. The current campaign is broadcast county-wide and runs through June 2025. The Unit has received overwhelmingly positive feedback from listeners within the community and hopes to extend the campaign into FY 25-26.

The unit recognizes the importance of maintaining a **Balanced Case Load** and is working diligently to uphold this goal. Achieving a reasonable balance is integral to sustaining a successful Workers' Compensation Fraud Unit in Solano County. The District Attorney's Office has and will continue to make a concerted effort with the Consortium, the California Department of Insurance, the Department of Industrial Relations, as well other governmental agencies, to identify, investigate and prosecute employer premium fraud, claimant fraud and the willfully uninsured. Using a multi-agency approach, Solano County will be able to efficiently and effectively identify, investigate and prosecute the high impact premium fraud and medical provider fraud cases both in our County and assist in cases that develop within the San Francisco Bay Area region.

21. APPLICANT QUESTION: MULTI-YEAR GOALS

What specific goals do you have that require more than a single year to accomplish?

Applicant Response:

Solano County continues to seek new sources of case referrals with the hope of increasing the quantity and quality of potential enforcement opportunities. Continued collaboration with the Consortium allows for certain increased resources to become available through our law enforcement partners. We believe we should be taking advantage of that whenever possible, as well as exploring potential multiagency, multi-jurisdictional approaches to more complex cases.

We also continue to expand outreach efforts to increase awareness of workers' compensation fraud and its negative consequences for employers, employees and the local economy. Our office remains committed to expanding the available training, outreach and educational opportunities to our community.

22. APPLICANT QUESTION: RESTITUTION AND FINES

Describe the county's efforts and the District Attorney's plan to obtain restitution and fines imposed by the court to the Workers' Compensation Fraud Account pursuant to California Insurance Code Section 1872.83(b)(4).

Applicant Response:

Recent developments in legislation have made the post-plea collection of restitution incredibly difficult. The challenges we have encountered are twofold. The Courts are no longer granting petitions to violate a defendant's probation based on unpaid restitution orders upon a demonstration of an "inability to pay". Moreover, under the recently amended PC Section 1203.4(c)(3)(A), unpaid restitution is no longer a basis upon which to deny a defendant's motion to withdraw his/her plea for "successful completion of probation". As a result, there is effectively no longer any legal penalty for failing to pay restitution in a criminal matter.

Nonetheless, the collection of restitution remains an important priority and consideration when negotiating dispositions of Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud cases. Financial motives are often the driving force behind this class of crime, and it is imperative that we address this when negotiating dispositions. As a result, our standing policy is to incorporate the payment of restitution into all plea agreements when appropriate. We also take into account that obtaining restitution prior to the entering of a plea may be a strategic preference in certain situations. Once cases have been resolved, either by trial or plea, the Unit continues to monitor the collection of restitution, investigative costs and fines deemed payable to the Workers' Compensation Fraud Account.

23. APPLICANT QUESTION: RESTITUTION NUMBERS

Provide the amount of restitution ordered and collected for the past five fiscal years.

If this information is not available, provide an explanation.

Applicant Response:

Fiscal Year	Restitution Ordered	Restitution Collected
2024-25	\$22,922.00	\$22,922.00
2023-24	\$747,017.00	\$744,538.43
2022-23	\$8,661.25	\$86,621.25
2021-22	\$0.00	\$12,383.00
2020-21	\$0.00	\$4,500.00
	Total: \$778,600.25	Total: \$870,964.68

Additional Comments:

As stated in the previous section, the Courts are no longer granting petitions to violate a defendant's probation based on unpaid restitution orders. During FY 2020-21, and most of FY 2021-22, nearly all worker's compensation insurance fraud cases were continued due to a standing order issued by the Solano County Superior Court. Therefore, no cases were resolved that resulted in restitution orders being issued. Nonetheless, we still continue in our efforts to collect on previously issued restitution orders from past fiscal years, resulting in several additional recoveries to date.

24. APPLICANT QUESTION: UTILIZATION PLAN RELATED TO UNEXPENDED FUNDS

If you had any unexpended funds from FY 23-24 (Overview Questions 2 & 3), address the below question(s). If you did not have any unexpended funds from FY 23-24, mark N/A.

- 1) You must address if you are on track to expend all of your Total Funding for FY 24-25. This includes your FY 24-25 Awards and FY 23-24 Approved Unexpended Funds.
- 2) If you are not on track to expend your Total Funding and you are not asking for a corresponding reduction in your grant request, please explain.

Applicant Response:

Not Applicable

25. APPLICANT QUESTION: UTILIZATION PLAN

Your budget provides the amount of funds requested for Fiscal Year 25-26.

Provide a brief narrative description of your utilization plan for the Fiscal Year 25-26 requested funds.

If an increase is being requested, please provide a justification. Any information regarding investigations should be given a reference number and details provided only in the Confidential Section, question 1 (County Plan Confidential Investigation Details).

Applicant Response:

The primary use for the increased funding will go to personnel costs. However, remaining funds will be deployed to revisit and amplify previously existing advertising and outreach campaigns.

26. APPLICANT QUESTION: UNINSURED EMPLOYERS

Describe the county's efforts to address the problem of uninsured employers.

Local district attorneys have been authorized to utilize workers' compensation insurance fraud funds for the investigation and prosecution of an employer's willful failure to secure payment of workers' compensation as of January 2003.

Applicant Response:

The Unit continues to make significant outreach efforts to both educate the public and create a safe and positive environment where citizens can report suspected fraud. Solano County has a diverse population and a mixture of both urban and agricultural workers. The unit recognizes that uninsured employers have an ongoing negative fiscal impact and end up damaging the local business community. Solano County is committed to discouraging fraudulent activity by effectively and efficiently investigating employers engaging in such conduct. Employers that are found to be deliberately avoiding premiums by committing wage theft and/or cash pay will be aggressively charged and prosecuted in a sustained effort to create a fair and just business community within Solano County.

As noted in a previous section, the Unit secured a noteworthy settlement in April 2024 involving the underreporting of nearly one million dollars in payroll. The defendant was convicted on 6 felony counts and over \$725,000 in back premiums and unpaid payroll taxes were recovered in this case. We believe this case will send a message that engaging in this kind of conduct will have severe consequences and serve as a significant deterrent to other employers who are looking to cheat the system.

Sub-Section Name: Training and Outreach

27. APPLICANT QUESTION: TRAINING RECEIVED

List the insurance fraud training received by each county staff member in the workers' compensation fraud unit during Fiscal Year 24-25.

If it is a multiple day training/conference (e.g. CDAA, AFA, etc.), only one entry is required; enter the first day for the "Training Date" field.

For the "Hours Credit" field, enter the combined total hours of credit for all attendees.

Applicant Response:

Number of Personnel	Training Date	Provider	Location	Topic	Hours Credit (combined total)
2.00	08/21/2024	Bay Area WC Fraud Consortium	Virtual	Medical Provider case presentation	2.00

2.00	09/10/2024	AFA	Virtual	Provider Fraud Referrals	4.00
2.00	10/15/2024	Bay Area WC Fraud Consortium	Virtual	Premium and Medical Provider Fraud	6.00
2.00	10/22/2024	CDAA	Santa Rosa, CA	Fraud Symposium	25.75
2.00	02/19/2025	Bay Area WC Fraud Consortium	Virtual	Premium Fraud Basics	2.00
2.00	02/25/2025	United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America	Virtual	Construction Industry Fraud	4.00
2.00	02/26/2025	CDI	Virtual	Grant Info Webinar	2.00
2.00	03/12/2025	CDI	Virtual	Grant Workshop	12.00

28. APPLICANT QUESTION: TRAINING AND OUTREACH PROVIDED

Upload and attach the Training and Outreach Provided form in Excel; label it "25-26 WC (county name) Training and Outreach Provided". Do not include training *received*; **only list training and outreach provided in FY 24-25** as outlined in the outreach definition below.

- For the number of Attendees / Contacts list only **numbers**; no other characters. Estimate the number as best you can. The data provided on this Excel sheet is compiled and presented to the Insurance Commissioner as Outreach is a focus of the Commissioner's Goals & Objectives.
- For the purposes of the insurance fraud grant programs, "outreach" is defined as: Any activity undertaken by a grant awardee to inform and educate the public on the nature and consequences of insurance fraud and the training and sharing of best practices with industry stakeholders and allied law enforcement agencies. The results will be crime prevention, the generation of quality referrals from the public, business community, insurance industry, and law enforcement, and improved strategies for the investigation and prosecution of insurance fraud.

- *If, in the form, you listed any "Other, Specify" provide a brief explanation here; other additional comments are optional. The blank form is located in the Announcement Attachments, 1a.*

Applicant Response:

Label attachment "25-26 WC (County) Training and Outreach"

Documents:

25-26 WC Solano Training And Outreach Provided .Xlsx

Additional Comments:

On 1/27/25, the Unit began airing worker's comp awareness ads on local radio station KUIK 95.3 FM. The ads were voiced by Lead DDA M. Olsen and are currently scheduled to run through the end of June 2025. KUIK can be heard countywide and, according to the Nielsen Company, has a listening audience of 151,400. For comparison's sake, Solano County newspapers provide a circulation of only about 4000-5000, depending on the publication. The Unit has already received significant anecdotal feedback on the campaign, with many individuals reporting back that they have heard the ads and appreciate the information they offer. The Unit hopes to extend that ad campaign into FY 2025-26 if funding is available.

29. APPLICANT QUESTION: FUTURE TRAINING AND OUTREACH

Describe what kind of training/outreach you plan to provide in Fiscal Year 25-26.

Applicant Response:

The Unit is continuing to foster working relationships with labor organizations that can serve to both create new training opportunities, and potentially produce viable case referrals. On April 16, 2024, Lead Deputy District Attorney Matthew Olsen and District Attorney Krishna Abrams were both featured speakers at the NorCal Carpenters Union Tax Fraud Days of Action rally. The event was held at the Contra Costa County Courthouse in Martinez, and is organized every year to bring awareness to the issues of tax fraud and wage theft in the construction industry. By continuing to cultivate these important relationships, the Unit can maintain open lines of communication with organizations that are working directly with the individuals who are being taken advantage of by unscrupulous employers.

We also hope to develop further training opportunities with private organizations, such as a previously reported series of trainings that the Unit has conducted for the North Bay Schools Insurance Authority. The initial training opportunity was a direct result of DAI Jackson's discussion of an SFC with North Bay Schools Insurance Authority's SIU. The SFC indeed resulted in a filed case, which was discussed in a previous section. However, the training opportunity that resulted from the investigation was equally important and has resulted in the Unit being invited back to conduct further trainings.

Lastly, the Bay Area Workers' Compensation Fraud Consortium has also restarted a regular meeting schedule and conducted an annual training for investigators, attorneys and industry professionals in October 2024. Mr. Olsen also remains available to revisit the training he previously conducted at the 2023 AFA Conference that covers basic courtroom procedure for witnesses from a DA's perspective. It is hoped that all of this will lead to further training and outreach opportunities for the Unit to continue in this important work.

30. APPLICANT QUESTION: JOINT PLAN

Upload your WC Joint Plan and label it "25-26 WC (county name) Joint Plan".

Each County is required to develop a Joint Plan with their CDI Regional Office, to be signed and dated by the Regional Office Captain and the Prosecutor in Charge of the Grant Program. Please note, the joint plan you upload is a tentative agreement pending execution of a Grant Award Agreement (GAA) signed by the authorized parties. Additional information is in the Announcement Attachments, 3c, and also copied into the attached instructions to this question.

Applicant Response:

Confirm signed and dated by all parties.

Documents:

25-26 WC Solano County Joint Plan.Pdf

Section Name: Investigation Case Reporting

Sub-Section Name: Investigation Case Information Relating to Questions

31. APPLICANT QUESTION: COUNTY PLAN CONFIDENTIAL INVESTIGATION DETAILS

If you discussed any confidential cases throughout the County Plan section and provided a reference number, please include additional confidential details on an attachment uploaded here.

The reference number/citation used in the County Plan narrative responses should be repeated in your document upload. Task Force cases should specifically name the task force and your county personnel's specific involvement / role in the case.

Upload your own attachment and label it "25-26 WC (county name) County Plan Confidential Investigation Details" *upload and mark confidential*, then attach to this question. If no investigation information was referenced, mark the N/A response.

Applicant Response:

Not Applicable

Sub-Section Name: Reporting on All Investigations

32. APPLICANT QUESTION: INVESTIGATION CASE ACTIVITY REPORT (ICAR)

Download Announcement Attachment 1bii, label it "25-26 WC (county name) ICAR" upload and **mark confidential, then attach to this question.**

*This document requires information regarding each investigation case that was reported in the DAR, Section III C (Investigations). Two of the three reporting components ask for case counts only. The total of the case counts in Part 1 and Part 2, along with the number of case entries in Part 3, should equal your total investigation case count reported in the DAR section III (Investigations). The blank form is located in the Announcement Attachments, 1bii. **Do NOT substitute descriptions in Part 3 in lieu of case counts for Part 1 and Part 2.***

Reminders:

1. The total of the case counts in the ICAR Parts 1, 2, and 3, should equal your total investigation case count reported in the DAR Section III.
2. Vertical Prosecutions should not be counted as an Investigation or a Joint Investigation.

Click the "SHOW INSTRUCTIONS" link above to view directions on how to properly complete the report.

Applicant Response:

25-26 WC Solano County ICAR.Docx

Sub-Section Name: New Investigation Information for Cases in Court

33. APPLICANT QUESTION: CASES IN COURT INVESTIGATION CASE ACTIVITY

Do you have NEW Investigation Information for cases that started the year in prosecution that you want to include? This section is optional.

*If you do have cases to report, download Announcement Attachment 1c, label it "25-26 WC (county name) Cases in Court Investigation Case Activity" **upload and mark confidential**, then attach to this question.*

*Provide only investigation information for case(s) that started the fiscal year in prosecution, but required additional investigation during the reporting period. **Other than current status, no prosecution case information should be included.***

Applicant Response:

No

Section Name: Acknowledgment

Sub-Section Name: Acknowledgment

34. APPLICANT QUESTION: ACKNOWLEDGMENT

For purposes of the grant application process and Grant Award Agreement (GAA), the term “application” refers to the grant application and its Funding Announcement Attachments including, but not limited to, the Budget Instructions, Grant Requirements, and Fact Sheets.

Applicant Response:

I acknowledge

BUDGET

Budget Year: 1

Budget Category	Direct	Total
▼ Salary By Position	\$211,127.00	\$211,127.00
Supervising Attorneys		
Attorneys	\$137,515.00	\$137,515.00
Supervising Investigators		
Investigators (Sworn)	\$73,612.00	\$73,612.00
Investigators (Non-Sworn)		
Investigative Assistants		
Forensic Accountant/Auditor		

Support Staff Supervisor		
Paralegal/Analyst/Legal Assistant/etc.		
Clerical Staff		
Student Assistants		
Over Time: Investigators		
Over Time: Other Staff		
Salary By Position - other		
Benefits	\$76,745.00	\$76,745.00
▼ Operating Expenses, General	\$19,466.00	\$19,466.00
Grant Indirect Costs - 10% method; plan must be on file and made available to CDI upon request (choose only 1 indirect cost method)		
Grant Indirect Costs - 5% method; plan must be on file and made available to CDI upon request (choose only 1 indirect cost method)		
Outreach	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
Audit	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00

Forensic Accounting Services		
Transcription Services, Interpreter Services, Records Requests		
Expert Consultant Fees		
Witness Fees/Litigation Fees		
Undercover Operation Expenses		
Office Supplies		
Office Space/Facility Fees		
IT Services		
Communications (phone, etc.)		
Membership Dues/Publications	\$466.00	\$466.00
Operating Expenses, General - other		
▼ Operating Expenses, Detailed	\$5,249.00	\$5,249.00
Insurance (i.e., General Liability, etc.; identify in narrative)	\$2,701.00	\$2,701.00
Motor Pool/Fleet Services (cannot include reserve fund for future purchases; identify number of vehicles)		

Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance (identify number of vehicles in narrative)		
Vehicle Mileage (not to exceed federal standard mileage rate; not allowed for grant purchased or motor pool/fleet vehicles; identify number of vehicles in narrative)	\$350.00	\$350.00
Vehicle Parking (identify number of vehicles in narrative)		
Software Renewal (identify in narrative)	\$2,198.00	\$2,198.00
Software Purchase (identify and provide justification in narrative)		
Minor Equipment as defined in instructions (identify in narrative IF over \$1,000 combined total)		
Equipment Lease/Maintenance (identify in narrative)		
Operating Expenses, Detailed - other		
▼ Operating Expenses, Travel and Training	\$5,448.00	\$5,448.00
Travel - In CA (Include costs such as hotel, airfare, and rental car associated with investigation and/or training. In narrative identify purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)	\$3,409.00	\$3,409.00
Travel - Out of CA (Include costs such as hotel, airfare, and rental car for out of state travel associated with investigation and/or training. In narrative identify state, purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)		
Training - In CA (Include registration fees. In narrative identify purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)	\$2,039.00	\$2,039.00

Training - Out of CA (Include registration fees. In narrative identify state, purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)		
Operating Expenses, Travel and Training - other		
▼ Equipment	0.00	
Computers (provide justification and % billed to each program in narrative)		
Printers/Scanners (provide justification and % billed to each program in narrative)		
Vehicles (provide justification and % billed to each program in narrative)		
Vehicle Code 3 Equipment (provide number and % billed to each program in narrative)		
Equipment - other		
Total	\$318,035.00	\$318,035.00

Budget Justification

Category Name	Category Calculations	Category Narrative
▼ Salary By Position		
Supervising Attorneys		

No. Of Positions:

1

Total FTE: 0.6

Total Cost:

\$137,515.00

Total Requested

Amount:

\$137,515.00

Attorneys

Supervising Investigators

No. Of Positions:

1

Total FTE: 0.5

Total Cost:

\$73,612.00

Total Requested

Amount:

\$73,612.00

Investigators (Sworn)

Investigators (Non-Sworn)

Investigative Assistants

Forensic Accountant/Auditor

Support Staff Supervisor

[Redacted]

Paralegal/Analyst/Legal Assistant/etc.

[Redacted]

Clerical Staff

[Redacted]

Student Assistants

[Redacted]

Over Time: Investigators

[Redacted]

Over Time: Other Staff

[Redacted]

Salary By Position - other

[Redacted]

Benefits

▼ Operating Expenses, General

Grant Indirect Costs - 10% method; plan must be on file and made available to CDI upon request (choose only 1 indirect cost method)

Grant Indirect Costs - 5% method; plan must be on file and made available to CDI upon request (choose only 1 indirect cost method)

Outreach

Audit

Forensic Accounting Services

Transcription Services, Interpreter Services, Records Requests

Expert Consultant Fees

Witness Fees/Litigation Fees

Undercover Operation Expenses

Office Supplies

Office Space/Facility Fees

IT Services

Communications (phone, etc.)

Membership Dues/Publications

Operating Expenses, General - other

▼ Operating Expenses, Detailed

Insurance (i.e., General Liability, etc.; identify in narrative)

Motor Pool/Fleet Services (cannot include reserve fund for future purchases; identify number of vehicles)

Vehicle Fuel and Maintenance (identify number of vehicles in narrative)

Vehicle Mileage (not to exceed federal standard mileage rate; not allowed for grant purchased or motor pool/fleet vehicles; identify number of vehicles in narrative)

Vehicle Parking (identify number of vehicles in narrative)

Software Renewal (identify in narrative)

Software License Renewals: \$2,038 Axon Prime Digital Evidence Management System (\$1,236 for DA Investigator

		annual license, \$802 for 1 DDA license which is 60% of total cost, balance offset by County General Fund); \$160 Adobe Pro License (\$100 for 1 DA Investigator Adobe License, \$60 for 60% of DDA total cost, balance offset by County General Fund)
Software Purchase (identify and provide justification in narrative)		
Minor Equipment as defined in instructions (identify in narrative IF over \$1,000 combined total)		
Equipment Lease/Maintenance (identify in narrative)		
Operating Expenses, Detailed - other		
▼ Operating Expenses, Travel and Training		
Travel - In CA (Include costs such as hotel, airfare, and rental car associated with investigation and/or training. In narrative identify purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)	No. Of People: 2 Total Cost: \$3,409.00 Total Requested Amount: \$3,409.00	2026 Monterey California Anti Fraud Conference: \$1200 lodging (\$250 x 3 days x 1 DA Investigator, 60% of \$750 (\$450) for 1 DDA), \$442 per diem (\$92 x 3 days x 1 DA investigator, 60% of \$276 (\$166) for 1 DDA), \$160 mileage (\$100 x 1 DA Investigator, 60% of \$100 (\$60) for 1 DDA); 2025 CDAA Fraud Symposium: \$1037 Lodging (\$216 x 3 days x 1 DA Investigator, 60% of \$648 (\$389) for 1 DDA), \$413 per diem (\$86 x 3 days x 1 DA Investigator, 60% of \$258 (\$155) for

		1 DDA), \$99 mileage (\$53 for DA Investigator, 60% of \$77 for 1 DDA), \$58 parking (\$12 x 3 days x 1 DA Investigator, 60% of \$36 (\$22) for 1 DDA). Balance of remaining DDA expense offset by County General Fund.
Travel - Out of CA (Include costs such as hotel, airfare, and rental car for out of state travel associated with investigation and/or training. In narrative identify state, purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)		
Training - In CA (Include registration fees. In narrative identify purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)	No. Of People: 2 Total Cost: \$2,039.00 Total Requested Amount: \$2,039.00	2026 Monterey California Anti Fraud Conference Registration Fees: \$625 for DA Investigator, 60% of \$625 registration fee (\$375) for DDA; 2025 CDAA Fraud Symposium: \$649 for DA Investigator, 60% of \$649 registration fee (\$390) for DDA
Training - Out of CA (Include registration fees. In narrative identify state, purpose, number of staff, and % billed to the program and other source of funding if less than 100%)		
Operating Expenses, Travel and Training - other		
▼ Equipment		
Computers (provide justification and % billed to each program in narrative)		

Printers/Scanners (provide justification and % billed to each program in narrative)

Vehicles (provide justification and % billed to each program in narrative)

Vehicle Code 3 Equipment (provide number and % billed to each program in narrative)

Equipment - other