

Contact Name Frances Neade

Email Address flneade@solanocounty.com

Contact Phone Number 707-784-6779

City/Jurisdiction/Agency
(If your option is not listed, select "Other") Solano County

County Solano

Is your project seeking regional discretionary funds or an endorsement? Regional discretionary funding

Please include the name of the regional discretionary funding program that this project is seeking. TDA - Article 3

Project Name/Title State Route (SR) 37/Fairgrounds Drive Interchange Project

Project Area/ Location City of Vallejo

Project Area Map
(Attach if applicable)
Please save the file with the project name and the jurisdiction submitting checklist.
Add the name of the file being uploaded below.
Then Click Here to upload your file.

Please see uploaded file.

Project Description
(2000 character limit).
You may also attach additional project documents, cross sections, plan views or other supporting materials.

The project area has a great need for improved bicycle and pedestrian access as it is located next to a disadvantaged community and provides access to the largest youth employer in the County, groups that are less likely to be in vehicles. The existing sidewalks on both northbound and southbound Fairgrounds Drive under SR 37 will be relocated to the median and upgraded to a barrier-protected Class I facility. This improvement will separate these vulnerable travelers from automobile traffic. Traffic signals installed at each end of the DDI will allow for shorter and safer crossings as pedestrians travel through this protected median. Class II bicycle lanes will be provided on both eastbound and westbound Fairgrounds Drive with green colored paving.

Please choose the project phase(s). CON

Do you think your project qualifies for a Statement of Exception? No

Topic: Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit Planning

Does the project implement relevant plans, or other locally adopted recommendations? Yes

Please provide details on plan recommendations affecting the project area, if any, with Plan adoption date. If the project is inconsistent with adopted plans, please provide explanation. 2020 Active Transportation Plan

Does the project area contain segments of the regional Active Transportation (AT) Network? [See MTC's AT Network map here] Yes

If yes, describe the how project adheres to the National Association of City Transportation Official's (NATCO's) "Designing for All Ages & Abilities Contextual Guidance for High-Comfort Bicycle Facilities" and/or the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board's "Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way."

The project is located in a disadvantaged community and the pedestrian/bike access improvements will serve all ages and abilities. A Class I barrier protected median will be constructed to improve the safety of active transportation users. There will also be shorter and signalized controlled crosswalks.

Is the the project on a known High Injury Network (HIN) or has a No

local traffic safety analysis found a high incidence of bicyclist/pedestrian-involved crashes within the project area?

Please summarize the traffic safety conditions and describe the project's traffic safety measures. The Bay Area Vision Zero System may be a helpful resource.

Traffic safety countermeasure include shorter crosswalks, signal controlled crosswalks, and a barrier protected walkway median. A class II bicycle lanes with green markings will also be installed. Current safety conditions include a yield only pedestrian crossing on the on ramp of SR 37/Fairgrounds Drive, no safe crossings of Fairgrounds Drive south of Sage Street, and pedestrians have to travel through the interchange.

Does the the project seek to improve conditions for people biking, walking and/or rolling? If the project includes a bikeway, was a Level of Traffic Stress (LTS), or similar user experience analysis conducted?

Yes

A. Are there existing public transit facilities (stop or station) in the project area?

Yes

If yes, list transit facilities (stop, station, or route) and all affected agencies.

SR 37/Fairgrounds Drive

B. Have all potentially affected transit agencies had the opportunity to review this project? If yes, please save the email from transit operator(s) below.

Yes

Please save the file with the project name and the jurisdiction submitting checklist. Add the name of the file being uploaded below. Then Click Here to upload your file.

We discussed with SolTrans staff at a meeting.

C: Is there a MTC Mobility Hub (map) within the project area?

No

If applicable, please provide the class designation for bikeways included in the project and cite the design standards used.

Class I multi-use path and Class II bike lanes.

Will the project improve active transportation in an Equity Priority Community (EPC)?

Yes

Please list census tracts that are designated as EPCs and affected by this project.

2515, 2518.02, and 2519.01 along with 2501.03, 2514, 2519.02, and 2519.03 (HDCs and APPs)

Has a local (city is preferred and county is an option) Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission (BPAC) reviewed this Checklist? The Checklist will begin MTC review once the BPAC meeting has occurred.

No

Please provide the meeting date(s). BPAC meeting date should occur before the grant funding request application or endorsement is submitted.

June 13, 2024

Compliance and Exemption

Please check below if Yes. If no, complete the Statement of Exception. If Yes, this Checklist is complete and the rest of the form can be skipped. If No, please fill out the Statement of Exception section.

Yes

Has a local (city or county) Bicycle and

No

Pedestrian Advisory Commission (BPAC) reviewed this Checklist? The CS Checklist will begin review once the BPAC meeting notes are included in this form.

Please provide the meeting date(s).

June 13, 2024

1. The affected roadway is legally prohibited for use by bicyclists and/or pedestrians. Yes/No?

No

2. The costs of providing Complete Streets improvements are excessively disproportionate to the need or probable use (defined as more than 20 percent for Complete Streets elements of the total project cost). Yes/No?

No

3. There is a documented Alternative Plan to implement Complete Streets and/or on a nearby parallel route. Yes/No?

No

4. Conditions exist in which policy requirements may not be able to be met, such as fire and safety specifications, spatial conflicts on the roadway with transit or environmental concerns, defined as abutting conservation land or severe topological constraints. Yes/No?

No

To generate customized PDFs from Google Forms, download [Document Studio](#) ([video demo](#)).

These messages are not added in the [premium version](#).