



Solano County

675 Texas Street
Fairfield, California 94533
www.solanocounty.com

Minutes - Draft Board of Supervisors

Mitch Mashburn (Dist. 5), Chair

(707) 784-6130

Cassandra R. James (Dist. 1)

(707) 784-3261

Monica Brown (Dist. 2), Vice Chair

(707) 784-3031

Wanda Williams (Dist. 3)

(707) 784-6136

John M. Vasquez (Dist. 4)

(707) 784-6129

Tuesday, August 12, 2025

9:00 AM

Board of Supervisors Chambers

CALL TO ORDER

The Solano County Board of Supervisors met on the 12th day of August, 2025 in regular session in the Board of Supervisors' Chambers at the Solano County Government Center, 675 Texas Street, Fairfield, California at 9:00 A.M.

ROLL CALL

Present were Supervisors James, Brown, Williams, Vasquez, and Chair Mashburn. Chair Mashburn presided. Also present were County Administrator Bill Emlen and County Counsel Bernadette Curry.

CLOSED SESSION

The Solano County Board of Supervisors recessed to Closed Session at 9:01 A.M. to discuss the following matters:

1 [25-679](#) 1) CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS (Pursuant to Government Code § 54957.6): Solano County representatives: Director of Human Resources Niger Edwards, County Administrator Bill Emlen, Assistant County Administrator Debbie Vaughn and Assistant County Administrator Ian Goldberg. Employee organizations: Solano County Deputy Sheriff's Association for Unit 3 (Law Enforcement Employees) and Unit 4 (Law Enforcement Supervisors); Solano County Law Enforcement Management Association for Unit 17 (Law Enforcement Management) and Unit 18 (Sheriff's Office Management)

2) PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT (GC § 54957) a. Title: County Counsel

9:30 A.M.

RECONVENE

This meeting of the Solano County Board of Supervisors reconvened at 9:45 A.M. All members were present and Chair Mashburn presided.

REPORT OF ACTION IN CLOSED SESSION (IF APPLICABLE)

Chair Mashburn announced on a vote of 3-2 the Board of Supervisors appointed Carrie Blacklock to the position of County Counsel and Ms. Blacklock will assume the position upon the retirement of Bernadette Curry.

SALUTE TO THE FLAG AND A MOMENT OF SILENCE

This meeting of the Solano County Board of Supervisors continued with the Salute to the Flag and a Moment of Silence.

ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC

Chair Mashburn invited members of the public to address the Board on matters not listed on the agenda but within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. The following comments were received:

- A) James Schneider commented on concerns about industrial zoning and County liability.
- B) Steve Olry commented on issues with individuals who are released from County jails settling in the City of Suisun and the need for wrap around services for those being released from jail.
- C) Joel Barrilleaux commented on opposition to the California Forever project.

ADDITIONS TO OR DELETIONS FROM THE AGENDA

There were no additions to or deletions from the Solano County Board of Supervisors' agenda for August 12, 2025.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

On motion of Supervisor Williams, seconded by Supervisor James, the Board approved the agenda of the Solano County Board of Supervisors for August 12, 2025 as submitted. So ordered by a 5-0 vote.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR

Chair Mashburn invited members of the public to address the Board on items listed on the Consent Calendar. There was no public comment.

APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR

On motion of Supervisor Vasquez, seconded by Supervisor James, the Board approved the following Consent Calendar items by 5-0 vote:

CONSENT CALENDAR

2 [25-671](#) Approve the minutes of the Solano County Board of Supervisors' regular meeting of August 5, 2025

Attachments: [A - August 5, 2025 Draft Minutes](#)

Approved

3 [25-597](#) Adopt a resolution amending the List of Numbers and Classifications of Positions to add 1.0 FTE Limited-Term Social Worker III through March 31, 2026; Delegate authority to the Director of Human Resources to make any technical corrections; and Approve a \$284,710 Appropriation Transfer Request to recognize appropriations for the position (4/5 vote required)

Attachments: [A - Position Resolution SWIII LT](#)

Approved

Enactment No: Resolution No. 2025-180

4 [25-627](#) Approve a third contract amendment with Crestwood Behavioral Health, Inc. for \$192,000 for a total contract amount of \$12,537,457 to provide intensive psychiatric services from July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2025

Attachments: [A - Crestwood Behavioral Health, Inc. Amendment 3](#)

[B - Link to Crestwood BH Inc., A2, A1, and Original Contract](#)

Approved

5 [25-656](#) Adopt a resolution accepting the dedication of public right-of-way along Olive Avenue (County Road No. 599) for Minor Subdivision MS-24-01 (Chisamore)

Attachments: [A - Resolution](#)

[B - Parcel Map](#)

[C - Vicinity Map](#)

Adopted

Enactment No: Resolution No. 2025-181

REGULAR CALENDAR

Rescheduled Consent Items

6 [25-668](#) Acknowledge notice from Thomas A. Ferrara, Solano County Sheriff/Coroner, of his retirement effective September 25, 2025; and Consider appointing Undersheriff Brad DeWall to serve the remainder of the unexpired term of Solano County Sheriff/Coroner

Attachments: [A - Ferrara Retirement Letter](#)

Chair Mashburn thanked Sheriff/Coroner Tom Ferrara for his service to Solano County and the time they served together.

Supervisor Williams thanked Sheriff/Coroner Ferrara and wished him a wonderful retirement.

Sheriff/Coroner Ferrara thanked the Board for their kind words and stated he has enjoyed his time as Sheriff and commented on the different accomplishments of his office.

County Administrator Bill Emlen introduced the item and commented on Sheriff/Coroner Ferrara's service to Solano County. Mr. Emlen commented on the possible actions the Board can take regarding appointing a replacement for Sheriff/Coroner Ferrara. Mr. Emlen stated the Board can choose to do public interviews. Mr. Emlen commented on the County Administrator's recommendation for the appointment of Undersheriff Brad DeWall, noting during Undersheriff DeWall's long career with the Sheriff's Department he has worked in all areas of the office.

Chair Mashburn invited members of the public to address the Board on this matter and the following comments were received:

- A) *Joshua Patzer commented in opposition to the Board appointment of Undersheiff DeWall, and in support of an open process for appointing the new Sheriff/Coroner.*
- B) *Jeremie Patzer commented in opposition to the Board appointment of Undersheiff DeWall, and in support of an open process for appointing the new Sheriff/Coroner.*
- C) *Christine Dean commented in opposition to the Board appointment of Undersheiff DeWall, and in support of an open process for appointing the new Sheriff/Coroner.*

County Counsel Bernadette Curry stated the law does not allow for a mid-cycle election. Ms. Curry further stated since there is no option for the Board to call for an election the position can only be filled by either an appointment by the Board

or the Undersheriff automatically assumes the office until the next election. Ms. Curry further stated, it was not the County that added two additional years to the Sheriff/Coroner term, noting the addition was done by the State of California Legislature.

Supervisor Williams stated she 100% believes Undersheriff DeWall is more than qualified to be the new Sheriff/Coroner; however, she is in support of an open interview process for the position of the Sheriff/Coroner.

Supervisor Brown commented on the County's use of succession planning with regard to the Auditor/Controller, noting that there will be an election for this position in 3 years and at that time the citizens will have the ability to elect a new Sheriff/Coroner if they desire to do so. Supervisor Brown commented on upcoming changes in many departments, noting Undersheriff DeWall will bring consistency to the role of Sheriff/Coroner.

Supervisor Williams commented on consistency, noting there needs to be a policy in place that addresses vacancies, particularly for elected positions.

On motion of Supervisor Vasquez, seconded by Supervisor Brown, the Board:

1) acknowledged receipt of notice from Sheriff Thomas A. Ferrara of his retirement from the Office of Solano County Sheriff/Coroner, effective September 25, 2025; and 2) appointed Undersheriff, Brad DeWall to serve the remainder of the unexpired term as Solano County Sheriff/Coroner, effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 25, 2025. So ordered by a 3-2 vote with Supervisor James and Supervisor Williams voting no.

7

25-653

Conduct a noticed public hearing to consider adopting a resolution to approve Minor Subdivision Application MS-24-02 of Realized Dreams to allow a Minor Subdivision of four (4) existing parcels totaling 426± acres, into ten (10) agricultural lots, over 41± acres each located at 8330 Tremont Road within the Exclusive Agriculture 40-acre minimum (A-40) zoning district, APNs 110-190-09, 110-190-10, 111-070-20, 111-070-21; Rescind existing Land Conservation contract Nos 14 and 15; Approve replacement Land Conservation contracts Nos 1391, 1392 and 1393, to be consistent with the boundaries of the newly created parcels; Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

Attachments:

[A - Resolution w/ Exhibit A](#)

[B - Tentative Subdivision Map](#)

[C - Proposed Residential Structure Location Map](#)

[D - Mitigated Negative Declaration](#)

[E - Contract Replacement Map](#)

[F - Draft Contract 1391](#)

[G - Draft Contract 1392](#)

[H - Draft Contract 1393](#)

[I - Community Outreach](#)

[J - Public Notice](#)

Planning Manager Allan Calder introduced the item.

Principal Planner Mathew Walsh provided an overview of the minor subdivision application noting the process has been complicated because approval of the application will mean the contracts will no longer follow the property lines in the existing Williamson Act contracts and as a result new Williamson contracts will be required. Mr. Walsh noted adding to the complexity of the application, is the fact that the property is not adjacent to the project; therefore in order to gain approval multiple signatures were required.

Consulting Planner Kathy Pease provided a brief history of the project noting the resulting subdivision will meet all zoning requirements of being over 40 acres. Ms. Pease noted no significant impacts were identified that cannot be mitigated.

Chair Mashburn opened the public hearing and invited members of the public to address the Board on this matter and the following comments were received:

A) Representative of the landowners Grant Guerri commented in support of the project.

Chair Mashburn closed the public hearing.

In response to a question from Supervisor Williams regarding the requested action to rescind the old Williamson Act contracts and approving new Williamson Act contracts Ms. Pease provided an overview of the Williamson Act contract process.

On motion of Supervisor Vasquez, seconded by Supervisor Williams, the Board: 1) adopted Resolution No. 2025-182 approving Minor Subdivision Application No. MS-24-02 of Realized Dreams to subdivide four (4) existing parcels totaling 426± acres, into ten (10) agricultural lots, over 41± acres each, APNs 110-190-09, 110-190-10, 111-070-20, 111-070-21, including actions and findings that: a) adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act; b) approved Minor Subdivision application MS-24-02 to allow a Minor Subdivision of four (4) existing parcels totaling 426± acres, into ten (10) agricultural lots, over 41± acres each; c) rescinded Land Conservation contract Nos. 14 and 15; and d) approved replacement Land Conservation contract Nos. 1391, 1392, and 1393. So ordered by a 5-0 vote.

Enactment No: Resolution No. 2025-182

8

25-652

Receive a presentation on the status of the draft Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) ordinance; Provide feedback and direction on proposed ordinance provisions; Direct staff to proceed with final ordinance preparation and public hearing scheduling

Attachments: [A - BESS Ordinance - DRAFT](#)
[B - ERP Guidance - DRAFT](#)

Director of Resource Management James Bezek introduced the item.

Associate Planner Erik Hagstrom provided an overview of the Board's past

actions regarding Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS), on the proposed BESS project locations, and on the process used by the Department of Resource Management to create the draft ordinance.

Planning Manager Allan Calder reminded the Board that the applicants have the option to bypass local regulations and go to the State of California (State) to get approval of BESS projects.

Chair Mashburn commented on the requirements that the State take local policies or ordinances under consideration when looking at approving applications for BESS facilities.

In response to Chair Mashburn's comments, Mr. Bezek stated the current applications that have been deemed incomplete were deemed so because of comments submitted to the State by County staff.

Supervisor Brown voiced concerns over the possibility of agricultural lands being no longer usable as a result of an accident at the site, noting that is the current outcome of the Moss Landing incident. Supervisor Brown commented on her concerns over the applicants' ability take their application to the State, bypassing County land use policies to obtain approval for projects. Supervisor Brown voiced concerns over the safety of Solano County's residents, noting the ordinance adopted by the Board needs to be as tight as it can be. Supervisor Brown recommended staff contact Solano County's State representatives about changing this policy. Supervisor Brown further recommended funding for extra help for the fire department and other first responders be a part of the conditions of approval for future applications.

In response to comments made by Supervisor Vasquez regarding the current process creating a double standard, Mr. Bezek stated that is the balancing act staff is walking in creating a draft ordinance that protects Solano County but is not overly restrictive, noting an overly restrictive ordinance would potentially encourage applicants to bypass the County and go to the State for approval of their project. Mr. Bezek further stated systems built like the one at Moss Landing are no longer eligible to be approved, noting the goal of future systems is utilizing lessons that were learned from the incident at Moss Landing to keep it from happening again.

In response to a question from Supervisor Vasquez regarding decommissioning of a site, Mr. Hagstrom stated the decommissioning process requires applicants to provide a financial commitment and a guarantee to completely restore the property, noting 50% of the financial commitment must be posted by the start of full commercial operation and 100% posted by the 5th year of operations. Mr. Hagstrom further stated payment can include insurance for bankruptcy in the form of a bridge policy and shall include but is not limited to financial assurance in the form of a bond, a parent company guarantee or an irrevocable letter of credit.

In response to a question from Supervisor Vasquez regarding if the County

chooses which form of guaranteed payment will be made, Mr. Hagstrom stated the applicant can choose which option to take.

Supervisor Vasquez commented on the need for a review of the application with regard to the decommissioning process, noting it should go before the Debt Advisory Board.

Supervisor Brown commented on the need to ensure that there is no burden on the County for the provision of safety equipment and safety training, noting it needs to be required that they pay for these items prior to beginning a project including the bond for decommissioning. Supervisor Brown commented on the need to keep the BESS facilities away from schools.

In response to comments from Supervisor Brown, Mr. Bezek stated the ordinance they are crafting does have those requirements within it. Mr. Bezek further stated staff has consulted with the fire district and other first responders to obtain a list of concerns and requirements. Mr. Bezek further stated staff has conveyed that information to the California Energy Commission (CEC), with a goal to roll the requirements into what the CEC approves as well. Mr. Bezek further stated staff will work on adding the requirements for the equipment that the fire districts and first responders will need, who pays for that and when, and training and other methodologies which falls somewhat under a quasi-community benefit and is also a mitigation requirement in order to show it is a safe and proper process.

Mr. Hagstrom commented on additional proposed safety standards within the ordinance.

Supervisor Brown commented on the need to bring in the hospitals into the conversation on what may be needed if there is an incident that requires health care.

County Counsel Bernadette Curry stated there is an option of complete prohibition of approval of BESS systems on agricultural lands.

Mr. Bezek stated while a complete ban in agricultural areas is an option; it would not preclude companies from bypassing the County and going to the State to get approval of the application.

Discussion was held regarding direction from the Board of the provided options for placement on prime ag land, set back requirements, proposed exclusions, and draft ordinance exemptions and mitigations requirements.

In response to comments from Chair Mashburn regarding the possibility of an application bypassing the County because the applicant doesn't want to follow the ordinance, Mr. Calder stated, without an ordinance, yes the applicant will most likely go to the State for approval; however with an ordinance the CEC has been receptive to looking at local ordinances, regulations and standards. Mr. Calder further stated when there is guidance in place the CEC ideally will defer

to the county's ordinance for direction for the applicant.

Mr. Hagstrom further stated the CEC is required to consider local laws, regulations and standards; however, the CEC can exempt a project from any local laws, rules, regulations or standards if that particular rule makes the project unfeasible and the project is deemed necessary for public convenience and necessity. Mr. Hagstrom further stated that is why it is so important to have a balanced and reasonable ordinance that does not preclude a project being built in Solano County but does contain serious safety standards.

In response to a question from Supervisor Williams regarding potential environmental impacts, land contamination, health impacts to the citizens, and the type of facilities that can be approved, Mr. Hagstrom stated the facilities allowed by the ordinance will not have a thermal runaway risk; however, there are other risks associated with the batteries including hazardous chemicals that could leak into the groundwater.

A discussion period was held.

Interim Fire Chief Alfred Abruzzini commented on potential fire district response times, stating while there are many factors that could contribute to response times, the fire fighters should be onsite at least within at least 10 minutes or so.

Supervisor Williams recommended requesting the facility owners be required to finance the building of a fire substation next to the site.

Mr. Calder stated the ordinance as it is recommended calls for compensation for fire departments for specific equipment to put the fires out including potentially new vehicles and the turnouts that are worn by the firefighters.

In response to a question from Supervisor Williams regarding safety measures to stop fires from spreading, Interim Fire Chief Abruzzini, stated the breaks in between the cabinets will limit spread of fire between cabinets.

Mr. Bezdek stated the biggest risk is the plume of smoke that will be the result of a fire.

Chair Mashburn commented on where the potential sites are surrounding the Dixon Substation.

A discussion period was held regarding the potential impacts of a smoke plume from a BESS facility fire.

A brief discussion period was held regarding the potential of limiting the number of facilities that can be placed in a specific area.

In response to Supervisor Brown's comments on potential future development of housing, Mr. Calder stated the County can place a buffer around the facilities.

In response to comments by Chair Mashburn regarding comments the Board received from the public related to Measure T and that placement of BESS Facilities in agricultural land would have to be voted on by the public, County Counsel Bernadette Curry stated, as the ordinance is being written, placement of a BESS facility in agricultural land would be done through a use permit which would not change the zoning. Ms. Curry further stated Measure T is only activated if there is a plan to change the zoning of an agricultural area; therefore if the Board chooses to ban BESS facilities in the areas that are zoned agricultural, then it would be a Measure T issue in that it would have to be a conversion of the zoning.

Discussion was held regarding projects that have been approved or denied in other municipalities and on other areas within the County where facilities could be built that are not in proximity to residential areas.

Chair Mashburn stated he would like to see significant setbacks included in the ordinance and to ensure that the ordinance has teeth, including the responsibility for cleanup of any heavy metals that are a result of a smoke plume.

Chair Mashburn invited members of the public to address the Board on this matter and the following comments were received:

- A) Steve Olry commented in opposition to the placement of Battery Energy Storage Systems in Solano County.
- B) Pam Barringer commented in support of the placement of Battery Energy Storage Systems but in opposition to storage of lithium batteries.
- C) James Ward commented in opposition to the placement of Battery Energy Storage Systems in Solano County and in support of a continuation of a moratorium.
- D) Marr Reed commented in support of the placement of Battery Energy Storage Systems but in opposition to storage of lithium batteries.
- E) Chris Whitaker commented in opposition to the placement of Battery Energy Storage Systems in Solano County.
- F) Michael Geller commented in support of 2500 ft setbacks.
- G) Neil Serr commented in support of the adoption of an ordinance in order to allow the County to have a say where the facilities should be placed.
- H) Victoria Serr commented in support of the adoption of an ordinance in order to allow the County to have a say where the facilities should be placed.
- I) Rosie Stabile commented in opposition to the placement of Battery Energy Storage Systems in Solano County.

J) Deanna Cole commented in support of larger setbacks and in support of the creation of an ordinance in order to allow the County to have a say where the facilities should be placed.

K) Wendy Breckon commented in support of larger setbacks and in support of the creation of an ordinance in order to allow the County to have a say where the facilities should be placed.

L) Noel Demartini commented in support of an ordinance banning BESS facilities in agricultural land.

M) Carmen Martinez-Calderón commented in support of an ordinance banning BESS facilities in agricultural land.

N) Ruben Galvan commented on the need for strong labor standards in the projects providing essential protections for workers.

O) Joel Barrilleaux commented alternative energy storage options.

P) Loli Martinez Calbert commented in support of keeping BESS facilities away from the population.

Q) Alison Harris commented in opposition to BESS facilities in Solano County and recommended a victim compensation account.

R) Paul Troxell commented in opposition to the placement of BESS facilities in Solano County.

S) Alicia Minyen commented in support of a ban on lithium ion battery storage facilities and in support of a complete ban in the agricultural areas of the County.

T) Sarah Dunn commented in support of the recommended ordinance and requested that nonflammable technologies only be allowed in commercial services zones. Ms. Dunn further requested BESS facilities only be allowed in industrial zoned areas. Ms. Dunn further stated she agrees with Option No. 5, but would like the County to be able to increase the setbacks as needed.

In response to a question from Supervisor Vasquez regarding if the ordinance the Board is discussing will have an effect on the applications already in process at the CEC, Mr. Hagstrom stated it is his understanding that it is the laws that are in place when the applications are deemed complete that the CEC will consider, noting that if the Board is able to get the ordinance in place prior to any application being deemed complete by the CEC, the ordinance should be taken under consideration by the CEC.

Ms. Curry stated it is when the ordinance takes effect which is 31 days after adoption.

In response to comments made by Supervisor Williams regarding the Lambie

location being too close to Travis AFB for the placement of a BESS facility, Mr. Hagstrom stated based on eliminating parcels due to fire risk, that location would not be allowed for a BESS facility placement. Mr. Hagstrom further stated that the ordinance currently requires technical studies by 3rd party experts to include plume modeling and toxic gas dispersion analysis specifically addressing missions and flight paths of Travis AFB and other Solano County airports.

Ms. Curry commented on the potential inclusion of a complete prohibition of BESS facilities in the agricultural zoned areas, noting that staff is going to research if the CEC will respect the County's prohibition and will either include it in the ordinance or staff will return to the Board and explain why it isn't possible.

Chair Mashburn recommended staff bring forward two ordinances, one with a complete prohibition in agriculturally zoned areas, and one that prohibits BESS Facilities in areas that are zoned prime agricultural lands. Chair Mashburn further stated he believes the Lambie location should still be considered for BESS facilities. Chair Mashburn further stated he strongly encourages members of the public to reach out to the legislators to amend the legislation that allows the CEC to supersede local land use laws. Chair Mashburn further recommended all Solano County municipalities consider adopting an ordinance as well. Chair Mashburn commented on the potential of a plan to put a port in Collinsville, and recommended a very large buffer be in place for any potential BESS facilities.

Received

RECESS

This meeting of the Solano County Board of Supervisors recessed at 12:35 P.M. and reconvened at 12:47 P.M. All members were present and Chair Mashburn presided.

9

25-651

Receive an update on the Sheriff Office's ability to provide temporary law enforcement assistance to the City of Vallejo; and Provide direction on a proposed contract for services

Attachments: [A - Vallejo Term Sheet](#)
[B - Vallejo DSA Letter](#)
[C - Draft Contract Vallejo Law Enforcement Services](#)

Sheriff/Coroner Tom Ferrara introduced the item, provided an overview of steps that have been taken to provide temporary law enforcement assistance to the City of Vallejo, and requested the Board consider continuing the item to August 26, 2025.

Chair Mashburn invited members of the public to address the Board on this matter and the following comments were received:

A) Jason Ackley commented in support of the contract.

B) Ryan Wallace commented on the ongoing meet and confer process with the Deputy Sheriff's Association (DSA) and voiced concerns of the DSA that critical staffing issues that remain unresolved.

ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC con't

There were no additional comments from the public.

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS AND REPORTS ON MEETINGS

Chair Mashburn invited members of the Board to make comments or reports on meetings. The following comments were received:

A) Supervisor James offered congratulations to Vallejo native CC Sabathia on his recent induction into the Major League Baseball Hall of Fame. Supervisor James commented on the ending of her office's summer intern program, noting the program provided the interns with a front row seat to the work being done in District 1 and in Solano County. Supervisor James further stated that her interns were able to contribute to internal operations, infrastructure, community engagement efforts and planning and supporting public events.

B) Supervisor Brown commented on the beginning of the school year and requested drivers remember to slow down in school zones. Supervisor Brown asked staff about the McCormack Hall project that was funded with ARPA funding.

In response to Supervisor Brown's questions, County Administrator Bill Emlen stated the project will be completed by the end of the year.

C) Supervisor Williams commented on the ending of her office's summer intern program, noting her intern contributed to an initiative that District 3 will be launching soon, noting she is hopeful her intern will come back to work for Solano County.

D) Chair Mashburn requested that this meeting of the Solano County Board of Supervisors be adjourned in memory of Patrick Bidou.

CLOSED SESSION

The Solano County Board of Supervisors recessed to Closed Session at 1:03 P.M. to discuss the following matters and with no action to report:

10

25-680

1) CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS (Pursuant to Government Code § 54957.6): Solano County representatives: Director of Human Resources Niger Edwards, Charles Sakai, Sloan Sakai Yeung & Wong LLP, David Pak, Asst. Director of Human Resources, Nick Balolong, Human Resources Manager, County Administrator Bill Emlen, Assistant County Administrator Ian Goldberg and Assistant County Administrator Debbie Vaughn. Employee organizations: Teamsters, Local 150 for Unit 1

(Attorneys); SEIU Local 1021 for Unit 2 (Nurses), Unit 5 (Health and Welfare Employees), Unit 7 (Regulatory, Technical and General Services Employees), Unit 8 (General Services Supervisors), Unit 9 (Clerical Employees) and Units 82, 87, 89, and 90 (Extra Help Employees); Solano County Deputy Sheriff's Association for Unit 3 (Law Enforcement Employees) and Unit 4 (Law Enforcement Supervisors); Public Employees Union, Local One for Unit 6 (Health and Welfare Supervisors) and Unit 16 (Mid-Management Employees); Stationary Engineers, Local 39 for Unit 10 (Skilled Craft and Service Maintenance Employees); Union of American Physicians and Dentists for Unit 11 (Physicians, Dentists and Psychiatrists); Solano County Probation Peace Officer Association for Unit 12 (Probation Employees) and Unit 15 (Probation Supervisors); Solano County Sheriff's Custody Association for Unit 13 (Correctional Officers); Teamsters, Local 856 for Unit 14 (Correctional Supervisors); Solano County Law Enforcement Management Association for Unit 17 (Law Enforcement Management) and Unit 18 (Sheriff's Office Management); Professional and Technical Engineers, Local 21 for Unit 19 (Executive and Senior Management); Unit 60 Legislative Group; Unit 61 (unrepresented Executive Management Employees), Unit 62 (unrepresented Senior Management Employees) and Unit 30 (Confidential Employees)

ADJOURN:

This meeting of the Solano County Board of Supervisors adjourned at 12:59 P.M. Next meeting of the Solano County Board of Supervisors will be August 26, 2024 at 9:00 A.M., Board Chambers, 675 Texas Street, Fairfield, California.

MITCH H. MASHBURN, Chair
Solano County Board of Supervisors

BILL EMLEN, Clerk
Solano County Board of Supervisors

By _____
Alicia Draves, Chief Deputy Clerk