

Resolution No. 2024-181

Resolution of the Solano County Board of Supervisors to request the Metropolitan Transportation Commission allocate Transportation Development Act Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle funding for the State Route (SR) 37/Fairgrounds Drive Interchange Project for Fiscal Year (FY)2024/25

WHEREAS, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 et seq., authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit and/or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay region, has adopted MTC Resolution No. 4108, Revised, entitled "Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian/Bicycle Projects," which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of "TDA Article 3" funding; and

WHEREAS, MTC Resolution No. 4108, Revised requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay region; and

WHEREAS, the County of Solano desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit and/or use of pedestrians and/or bicyclists.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Solano declares it is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code, and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that there is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of the County of Solano to carry out the project; and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County of Solano attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution; and furthermore, be it

RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments, as the case may be, of Solano County for submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.

Passed and adopted by the Solano County Board of Supervisors on November 5th, 2024, by the following vote:

AYES: SUPERVISORS Hannigan, Brown, Williams, Vasquez, and Chair Mashburn

NOES: SUPERVISORS None

EXCUSED: SUPERVISORS None



MITCH H. MASHBURN, Chair
Solano County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

BILL EMLLEN, Clerk

Solano County Board of Supervisors



Alicia Draves, Chief Deputy Clerk

Attachment A

Re: Request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the Allocation of Fiscal Year (FY)2024/25 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle Project Funding

Findings

Page 1 of 1

1. That the County of Solano is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the County of Solano legally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in "Attachment B" of this resolution.
2. That the County of Solano has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).
7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or final design and engineering or quick build project; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic and/or Class IV separated bikeway; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the County of Solano within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B which are bicycle projects have been included in a detailed bicycle circulation element included in an adopted general plan, or included in an adopted comprehensive bikeway plan (such as outlined in Section 2377 of the California Bikeways Act, Streets and Highways Code section 2370 et seq.) or responds to an immediate community need, such as a quick-build project.
9. That any project described in Attachment B bicycle project meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in the California Highway Design Manual or is in a National Association of City and Transportation Officials (NACTO) guidance or similar best practices document.
10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B will be completed in the allocated time (fiscal year of allocation plus two additional fiscal years).
11. That the County of Solano agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Attachment B**TDA Article 3 Project Application Form**

1. Agency	Solano County		
2. Primary Contact	Matt Tuggle		
3. Mailing Address	675 Texas Street, Suite 5500 Fairfield, CA 94533		
4. Email Address	mrtuggle@solanocounty.com	5. Phone Number	707-784-6072
6. Secondary Contact (in the event primary is not available)	Frances Neade		
7. Mailing address (if different) N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
8. Email Address	flneade@solanocounty.com	9. Phone Number	707-784-6079
10. Send allocation instructions to (if different from above):			
11. Project Title	State Route (SR) 37/Fairgrounds Drive Interchange Project		
12. Amount requested	\$400,000.00	13. Fiscal Year of Claim	FY 24-25

14. Description of Overall Project:

The State Route (SR) 37/Fairgrounds Drive Interchange Project will provide roadway and intersection improvements along portions of Fairgrounds Drive, as well as a new diverging diamond interchange (DDI) design in the City of Vallejo. There are several improvements to bicycle and pedestrian facilities associated with the project as well.

15. Project Scope Proposed for Funding: (Project level environmental, preliminary planning, and ROW are ineligible uses of TDA funds.)

The Project will improve safety, environmental sustainability, quality of life, mobility and community connectivity. The project area has a great need for improved bicycle and pedestrian access as the proposed project is in a disadvantaged community. The bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the project include: The existing sidewalk on both the northbound and southbound Fairgrounds Drive under SR 37 will be relocated to the median and upgraded to a barrier-protected Class I facility. This improvement will separate vulnerable road travelers from automobile traffic. Additionally, traffic signals installed at each end of the DDI will allow for shorter and safer crossings for bike and pedestrian traffic. Class II bicycle lanes will also be provided on both eastbound and westbound Fairgrounds Drive with green colored paving. A total of 3,500 feet of bike lanes and sidewalk improvements will be provided.

16. Project Location: A map of the project location is attached or a link to an online map of the project location is provided below:

The project is located in the City of Vallejo. See attached map.

Project Relation to Regional Policies (for information only)

17. Is the project in an [Equity Priority Community](#)? Yes ☒ No ☐

18. Is this project in a [Priority Development Area](#) or a [Transit-Oriented Community](#)? Yes ☒

No ☐

19. Project Budget and Schedule

Project Eligibility

Project Phase	TDA 3	Other Funds	Total Cost	Estimated Completion (month/year)
Bike/Ped Plan				
ENV		\$219,087	\$219,087	Jun-15
PA&ED		\$100,000	\$100,000	Oct-10
PS&E		\$1,722,913	\$1,722,913	Dec-20
ROW		\$200,000	\$200,000	Jul-25
CON	\$400,000	\$27,446,331	\$27,846,331	Sep-25
Total Cost	\$400,000	\$29,688,331	\$30,088,331	

A. Has the project been reviewed by the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee? Yes ☒

No ☐

If "YES," identify the date and provide a copy or link to the agenda.

If "NO," provide an explanation).

B. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? Yes ☒ No ☐

If "NO," provide expected date: _____

C. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? Yes ☐ No ☒

(If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page)

D. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria Yes ☒

No ☐

pursuant to [Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual](#)?

E. 1. Is the project categorically exempt from CEQA, pursuant to CCR Section 15301(c), Yes ☐

No ☒

Existing Facility?

2. If "NO" above, is the project is exempt from CEQA for another reason? Yes ☐ No ☒

Cite the basis for the exemption. _____

N/A ☒

If the project is not exempt, please check "NO," and provide environmental documentation, as appropriate.

F. Estimated Completion Date of project (month and year):

December 2025

G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has Yes ☒

No ☐

the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility, please identify below and provide the agreement.

H. Is a Complete Streets Checklist required for this project ? Yes ☒

No ☐

If the amount requested is over \$250,000 or if the total project phase or construction phase is over \$250,000, a Complete Streets checklist is likely required. Please attach the Complete Streets checklist or record of review, as applicable. More information and the form may be found here: <https://mtc.ca.gov/planning/transportation/complete-streets>