



Agenda Submittal

Agenda #: 30 **Status:** Consent Calendar
Type: Ordinance **Department:** County Counsel
File #: 17-629 **Contact:** Dennis Bunting, 784-6140
Agenda date: 9/12/2017 **Final action:**
Title: Consider introducing a technical correction ordinance amending Solano County Code Chapter 16, article II, section 16-20, relating to the name of the juvenile treatment facility program

Governing body: Board of Supervisors

District: All

Attachments: 1. A - Ordinance, 2. B - Chapter 16-20 (redlined), 3. Minute Order

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
9/12/2017	1	Board of Supervisors	Introduced	

Published Notice Required? Yes ☐ No ☒

Public Hearing Required? Yes ☐ No ☒

DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION :

- 1) Read the proposed ordinance by title only and waive further reading by a majority vote.
- 2) Introduce the proposed ordinance amending Solano County Code Chapter 16, article II, section 16-20, relating to the name of the juvenile treatment facility program.

SUMMARY:

The existing Chapter 16, article II, section 16-20 references the defunct juvenile treatment facility program. The program known as New Foundations has been replaced by the Challenge Academy of Solano County. Correcting this information will provide accuracy to our code references and ensure the Probation Department's continued eligibility for State funding of the juvenile treatment facility.

FINANCIAL IMPACT :

No financial impact to the General Fund.

DISCUSSION :

This ordinance proposes a technical correction to the County Code to ensure funding and code reference accuracy.

Welfare & Institutions Code section 881 authorizes counties to establish, by ordinance, camps, ranches, and other facilities for the treatment of juveniles. The Solano County Board of Supervisors previously authorized the establishment of such a facility. Recently, the Probation Department replaced the New Foundations program with the Challenge Academy of Solano County. This technical correction will amend the County Code to replace the name of the former treatment facility program with the new program and ensure that funding from the State is not interrupted.

ALTERNATIVES :

The Board could reject the proposed technical change, but this is not recommended because we need to retain State funding and provide accurate and reliable code references.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT :

We consulted with the Chief Probation Officer.

CAO RECOMMENDATION :

APPROVE DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION