SOLANO	Solano County		unty	675 Texas Street Fairfield, California 94533 www.solanocounty.com
Agenda Submittal				
Agenda #:	14	Status:	Approved	
Туре:	Contract	Department:	County Administrator	
File #:	18-581	Contact:	lan Goldberg, 784-6116	
Agenda date:	8/28/2018	Final action:	8/28/2018	
Title:	Approve a contract with Economic and Planning Systems, Inc. for \$102,200 to provide consultant services for the comprehensive analysis of the County's Public Facilities Fee, for a contract term of Aug 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019; Authorize the County Administrator to sign the agreement and any amendments that do not exceed 10% of the total contract amount; and Approve an Appropriations Transfer Request (ATR) of \$112,420 from the Public Facilities Contingency revenues to fund the contract cost for the comprehensive analysis and any amendments up to 10% of the contract cost (4/5 vote required)			
Governing body:	Board of Supervisors			
District:	All			
Attachments:	1. A - Contract, 2. Executed Contract, 3. Minute Order			
Date Ver	. Action By	Act	ion	Result
8/28/2018 1	Board of Supervisors	Ар	proved	
Published Notice Required? Yes NoX Public Hearing Required? Yes NoX				

# **DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION:**

The County Administrator recommends that the Board of Supervisors:

- 1. Approve a contract with Economic and Planning Systems, Inc. for \$102,200 to provide consultant services for the comprehensive analysis of the County's Public Facilities Fee, for a contract term of Aug 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019;
- 2. Authorize the County Administrator to sign the agreement and execute any contract amendments to the scope of services, terms and conditions, and total compensation that does not exceed 10% of the total contract amount; and
- 3. Approve an Appropriations Transfer Request (ATR) of \$112,420 from the Public Facilities Contingency revenues to fund the contract cost for the comprehensive analysis and any amendments up to 10% of the contract cost (4/5 vote required).

# SUMMARY:

California Government Code section 66000 requires that the premises and projections upon which the Public Facilities Fee (PFF) is established to be evaluated at least every five years. The last evaluation occurred in FY2012/13; therefore, a new analysis is recommended. Following a competitive bid process, Economic and Planning Systems, Inc. (EPS) was selected to provide the necessary comprehensive analysis of the County's PFF.

# FINANCIAL IMPACT:

There are two components to the contract scope of work with EPS, which includes the engagement of a subcontractor with expertise in traffic methodologies, for the analysis of the County's PFF.

- 1. \$57,420 for EPS' analysis of existing, proposed and modified components of the PFF.
- 2. \$44,780 for Fehr and Peers' analysis of the transportation component.

As indicated above, the net cost for the comprehensive analysis is \$102,200 which will be funded from PFF revenue received for administrative and compliance costs, including the comprehensive analysis. The costs associated with the transportation component will be reimbursed from the Solano Transportation Authority which administers the expenditure of the Regional Transportation Impact Fee. Funding for this contract is not reflected in the FY2018/19 Adopted Budget. The estimated costs for this contract was previously included in the FY2017/18 Adopted Budget, however as the contract was not executed in FY2017/18 as anticipated, the budgeted funds reverted to the PFF contingency. Therefore, it is recommended that the Board approve an ATR for \$112,420 from PFF contingency to fund the full cost of the contract of \$102,200 and \$10,220 or up to 10% of the contract amount to address any future contract amendments as authorized by the County Administrator. There is no impact to the County General Fund associated with approving this contract.

# DISCUSSION:

Solano County PFF supports public facility improvements, required as the result of future development within Solano County, through the collection of PFF by cities on behalf of the County. The Mitigation Fee Act (Government Code section 66000, et. seq.) requires that the premises and projections upon which PFF were established be evaluated at least every five years. The last evaluation for the County of Solano was completed in 2013 and the new evaluation should be completed in 2018.

A Request for Qualifications (RFQ) was released by the County on March 16, 2018. Only one firm submitted a bid. The bid received was from EPS, which was the firm selected to complete the previous comprehensive analysis for Solano County. Following evaluation of the RFQ submittal, EPS was selected.

The contract includes the following components, based on the scope of work and list described in the RFQ:

- 1. Comprehensive study evaluating the relationship between new development within the County and the amount of public facilities the County must acquire to accommodate growth through 2040.
- 2. Analysis to determine what components should be continued, added/eliminated or modified and what fees should be charged so that adequate revenues are raised to cover future costs for additional public facilities as growth occurs. Solano County currently collects the PFF for the following components: Countywide Public Protection, Health and Social Services, Library, General Government, Transportation and an administrative oversight charge.

The scope of work establishes a timeline that includes a review of a draft report by County staff; a final draft report for public review; time for County staff, along with the consultant, to meet with impacted local agencies, including cities, to confirm the methodology for projecting future facility needs as part of the review process; and a final report to be completed after public review. Staff anticipates the final report summarizing the methodology, findings, supporting justification, recommended fees and fee calculations will be presented to the Board by the end of the calendar year. Following completion of the analysis and presentation to the report to the Board, any recommended revisions to PFF fees would subsequently be brought back to the Board for further consideration and adoption.

# ALTERNATIVES:

The Board could choose any of the following:

- 1. To postpone or reject the response to the RFQ and direct staff to issue a new RFQ for the project; however, this is not recommended since the RFQ was conducted in conformance with the Public Contract Code and re-issuing the RFQ could increase the overall project cost, as well as, extend the project schedule resulting in missing the required deadline for the update.
- 2. To not approve the contract; however, this action is not recommended as the analysis needs to be completed as soon as possible in order to meet a comprehensive review of the PFF as required by law.

#### OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

The County Administrative Office has worked with staff from County Counsel, Resource Management, Solano Transportation Authority and General Services in developing the RFQ, selecting the consultant and completion of the contract.

# CAO RECOMMENDATION:

# APPROVE DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION